**IN RE: PETITION FOR ADMIN. VARIANCE** \* BEFORE THE

(2301 Gross Avenue)

15<sup>th</sup> Election District \* OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE

7<sup>th</sup> Council District

Matthew S. and Sarah E. Paul \* HEARINGS FOR

Petitioners \* BALTIMORE COUNTY

\* CASE NO. 2021-0036-A

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

## **OPINION AND ORDER**

This matter comes before the Office of Administrative Hearings ("OAH") for Baltimore County for consideration of a Petition for Administrative Variance filed by the legal owners of the subject property, Matthew S. and Sarah E. Paul ("Petitioners"). The Petitioners are requesting Variance relief pursuant to Baltimore County Zoning Regulations ("BZCR") § 1B02.3.C.1 to permit a side yard addition with a side-street setback of 5 ft. in lieu of the required 25 ft. The subject property and requested relief is more fully depicted on the site plan that was marked and accepted into evidence as Petitioners' Exhibit 1.

The Zoning Advisory Committee ("ZAC") comments were received and are made part of the record of this case. However, the property is located within the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area ("CBCA") and is subject to critical area requirements as noted in the ZAC comment dated March 15, 2021 submitted by the Department of Environmental Protection and Sustainability ("DEPS").

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Administrative Variance and the subject property having been posted on March 7, 2021, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioners have filed the supporting affidavits as required by § 32-3-303 of the BCC. Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that

the requested variance would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the

public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, the

information, photographs, and affidavits submitted provide sufficient facts to comply with

the requirements of § 307.1 of the BCZR. Furthermore, strict compliance with the BCZR

would cause practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship for the Petitioners.

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the BCC and the

BCZR, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of March, 2021, by the Administrative

Law Judge for Baltimore County, that the Petition for Variance seeking relief from Baltimore

County Zoning Regulations ("BZCR") § 1B02.3.C.1 to permit a side yard addition with a side-

street setback of 5 ft. in lieu of the required 25 ft., be and is hereby GRANTED.

The relief granted herein shall be subject to the following:

• Petitioners may apply for their appropriate permits and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware

that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason,

this Order is reversed, Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.

• Petitioners must comply with the Critical Area requirements as noted in the ZAC comment dated March 15, 2021 submitted by the Department of

Environmental Protection and Sustainability ("DEPS"); a copy of which is

attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Any appeal of this decision must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this

Order.

\_\_\_\_Signed\_\_\_\_

PAUL M. MAYHEW

Managing Administrative Law Judge

for Baltimore County

PMM:dlw

2